ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 **VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026**

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENT AND THE FUTURE OF SOCIAL SCIENCE EDUCATION IN NIGERIA

KYRIAN I. KEKE, GLORIA OBIAGERI ELEAGU

Department of Social Studies & Civic Education, Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education, Owerri, Imo State

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force redefining education in the twenty-first century, particularly within the social sciences. Intelligent systems increasingly shape how knowledge is created, analyzed, and transmitted their integration into social science education signifies both opportunity and disruption. This paper examines the evolving role of AI as both a pedagogical tool and an object of inquiry, emphasizing its potential to personalize learning, enhance research methodologies, and promote equity in digital education. Drawing on constructivist, humanistic, and digital learning theories, the study situates AI within learner-centered and ethically grounded educational paradigms. A 2024 case study analyzing public perceptions of Al in Nigerian education demonstrates how Al-assisted data analysis accelerates research, increases accuracy, and supports large-scale social inquiry while requiring human interpretive oversight. Despite these advancements, challenges persist including technological gaps, data privacy concerns, limited teacher readiness, and policy fragmentation particularly in developing contexts. Comparative insights from global case studies reveal that nations with robust digital infrastructure, teacher training, and ethical frameworks achieve deeper and more sustainable integration. The study concludes that AI can revolutionize social science education when guided by human-centered values and inclusive governance, Key recommendations include investing in teacher digital literacy, establishing national AI-in-education policies, strengthening data governance, and fostering interdisciplinary research collaboration. Ultimately, Al's future in social science education lies in harmonizing technological innovation with social responsibility to create equitable, reflective, and transformative learning environments.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, social science education, personalized learning, digital education.

Corresponding Author

Kyrian I. Keke
Email Address: kyriankeke4@gmail.com
Telephone Numbers: +23408163735548 & 2349039690626

Received: 21/5/2026; **Revised:** 30/6/2026; **Accepted:** 13/7/2026; **Published:** 19/7/2026

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

1.0. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (Al) represents one of the most transformative technological innovations of the twenty-first century, with potentials of redefining how humans learn, communicate, and interact with digital systems. Broadly, AI refers to computer systems designed to perform tasks that typically require human intelligence, such as reasoning, perception, problem- solving, learning, and language understanding. According to the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2024), Al systems area defined as “machine-based systems that, for a given set of human-defined objectives, make predictions, recommendations, or decisions influencing real or virtual environments". This updated global definition reflects Al's dynamic and context-dependent nature, emphasizing adaptability, data-driven decision-making, and the capacity for autonomous learning.

Historically, the concept of Artificial Intelligence emerged during the mid-twentieth century but has undergone remarkable evolution in recent decades. While early Al research in the 1950s and 1960s focused on symbolic logic and rule-based reasoning, the contemporary landscape of Al is driven by machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) models capable of self-improvement through data exposure. As Sheikh (2023), observes, AI now enables machines to imitate complex human skills, such as perception, cognition, and adaptation, with increasing precision and autonomy." Similarly, Benaich and Hogarth (2023), in their State of AI Report, note that modern Al Systems demonstrate not only computational intelligence but als0 creative and generative capabilities such as text composition, image creation, and decision analysis which were once considered uniquely human.

In 2024, Gartner described AI as the "application of advanced analysis and logic-based techniques, including machine learning, to interpret events, support and automate decisions, and take actions." This definition highlights the dual functionality of A: both as an analytical engine and as an autonomous decision-maker within Socio-technical environments. Further, Techtarget (2024) identifies AI's three essential Characteristics: perception (the ability to sense and interpret data), reasoning (drawing Conclusions from available information), and learning (improving performance over time). Together, these dimensions underscore Al s capacity to operate in dynamic environments with minimal human intervention.

Despite its pervasive influence, defining Al remains a Challenge, As noted in a 2024 SSRN discussion Paper on definitional challenges, ambiguity persists because the concept of “intelligence" itself lacks universal consensus. Moreover, as machines master tasks once exclusive to human cognition, the boundaries of AI continue to shift a phenomenon scholars describe as the AL effect. Thus, 1nderstanding of AI must be flexible enough to accommodate its s ongoing evolution.

From a social science and educational standpoint holds profound implications. It functions both as a tool and as a subject of inquiry. tool, AI enables adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring, automated grading, and the analysis of large-scale educational data, all of which can enhance personalization and inclusivity in learning environments (Luckin el al., 2023). As a subject of study, AL raises critical questions about ethics, data justice, equity and the human condition in an age of algorithmic decision-making. The social sciences are uniquely positioned to interrogate how AI technologies shape identities, institutions, power structures, and knowledge production.

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

Moreover, AI has begun to transform educational research methodologies. Through predictive analytics, text mining, and natural language processing (NLP), researchers can now examine social and educational phenomena with greater accuracy and scale (Huang &Yang, 2024). These tools allow for the synthesis of complex datasets ranging from social media behavior to classroom engagement metrics enabling more nuanced understandings of human behavior and learning patterns.

Artificial Intelligence today transcends its technical origins to become an integral component of human society. Its convergence with education, particularly in the social sciences, offers unprecedented opportunities for innovation, equity, and knowledge creation. Yet, it also demands critical reflection on its ethical boundaries and long-term social consequences. As education systems worldwide move toward digital transformation, understanding Al"s principles, capabilities, and limitations becomes essential for shaping the future of social science education.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a transformative force in education, redefining how knowledge is delivered, accessed, and evaluated across the world. Its relevance extends beyond the introduction of new digital tools; rather, it represents a paradigm shift in the philosophy, methodology, and practice of teaching and learning. In the context of social science education, Al not only enhances the efficiency of instruction but also reshapes how learners engage with concepts such as society, behavior, culture, and governance in an increasingly data-driven world.

AI's most profound educational relevance lies in its capacity for personalization. Unlike traditional instruction that often applies a one-size-fits-all model, AI systems analyze vast quantities of learner data to tailor educational content to individual needs, pace, and style. Adaptive learning platforms such as Coursera's Al Tutor and Duolingo Max use machine learning algorithms to adjust difficulty levels and feedback based on student performance. According to Luckin et al. (2023), AI enables personalized, responsive learning experiences that adapt to a student's cognitive and emotional profile," fostering deeper understanding and sustained engagement. This individualization of education aligns with modem pedagogical frameworks that emphasize learner-centered approaches and lifelong learning.

AI also enhances pedagogical decision-making by providing educators with data-driven insights into student progress, learning difficulties, and engagement levels. Predictive analytics can identify at-risk students and suggest timely interventions, thereby promoting equity and inclusiveness. As Huang and Yang (2024) note, Al-powered learning analytics allow educators to "move from intuition-based judgments to evidence-based strategies," improving academic outcomes and institutional effectiveness.

In addition, Al supports intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), which simulate one-on-one instruction through natural language processing (NLP) and adaptive reasoning. These systems provide immediate feedback., clarify misconceptions, and guide students through complex concepts a particularly valuable tool in social science education, where critical thinking and contextual understanding are essential, The integration of conversational AI, such as large language models, further extends these possibilities, enabling students to interact dynamically with digital tutors that can explain theories, debate issues, and provide formative assessments.

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

From a curricular perspective, AI facilitates new ways of teaching interdisciplinary and data- intensive topics that are central to modern social sciences such as public policy analysis, economics, digital sociology, and behavioral research. The ability of AI to process large datasets, identify social patterns, and visualize relationships helps students grasp complex social phenomena with greater clarity. OECD (2024) underscores that AI literacy the ability to understand, use, and critique AI systems has become an essential skill for global citizenship and employability in the 21st century.

AI is also transforming assessment and evaluation practices. Automated grading systems an essay evaluation tools can provide immediate feedback on student work, reducing teacher workload and allowing for continuous assessment rather than high-stakes testing. However, as Hwang et al. (2024) caution, this automation must be balanced with human oversight to maintain fairness, transparency, and contextual understanding.

Equally significant is Al's role in promoting inclusive education. Speech recognition, predictive text, and visual recognition technologies assist learners with disabilities by creating accessible learning environments. AI-driven translation tools also break linguistic barriers, democratizing access to global knowledge. In this way, Al contributes directly to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4, which advocates for inclusive and equitable quality education for all.

Nevertheless, Al's integration into education is not without challenges. Concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, teacher displacement, and over-reliance on automation remain at the forefront of scholarly debate. Holmes and Tuomi (2023) argue that “educational AI mustbe developed within ethical frameworks that prioritize human values, transparency, and accountability." Thus, while Al's relevance to education is transformative, it must be guided by human-centered design and social responsibility.

Hence, Artificial Intelligence holds immense potential to enhance educational access, efficiency, and quality. In social science education, its relevance extends beyond technological enhancement to include critical reflection on how intelligence, ethics, and human interaction are redefined in the digital era. By merging computational capabilities with pedagogical insight, AI can empower educators and learners to co-create more adaptive, inclusive, and reflective learning experiences thereby shaping the future of knowledge and citizenship in the 21st century.

2.0. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Rationale for integrating AI into social sciences

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the social sciences arises from the growing need to understand, interpret, and respond to the complexities of modern human societies within a rapidly digitalizing world As technology increasingly mediates social interaction, governance, economy, and culture, the social sciences' must adapt their theoretical frameworks and methodologies to remain relevant" AI provides new ways of generating, analyzing, and interpreting data about human behavior. It enables scholars and students to engage with social realities in deeper, more evidence-based, and interdisciplinary ways.

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

At its core, the rationale for incorporating AI into social science education rests on three interrelated imperatives: epistemological transformation, methodological innovation, and societal relevance.

Epistemological Transformation: Rethinking Knowledge Production

Traditionally, the social sciences have relied on qualitative and quantitative approaches that focus on small-scale observations and interpretive methods. However, the advent of AI introduces what Miller and Lee (2024) describe as a "computational turn" in the social sciences a shift toward large-scale, data-intensive, and algorithmically mediated knowledge production. Through machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), and network analysis, social scientists can now examine social phenomena with unprecedented scope and precision.

This transformation challenges conventional notions of causality and objectivity by incorporating probabilistic reasoning, predictive modeling, and pattern recognition into social inquiry. As Kitchin (2023) notes, AI-driven analytics enable researchers to move “from static models of society toward dynamic systems of interaction," capturing the fluid and interconnected nature of human behavior. Thus, integrating Al into social sciences enriches theoretical inquiry by expanding the boundaries of how knowledge is constructed, validated, and disseminated.

Methodological Innovation: Enhancing Research and Learning

AI offers powerful tools that enhance both research methodologies and pedagogical practices in the social sciences. Traditional data collection methods such as surveys, interviews, and ethnography can now be complemented by Al-based approaches that analyze massive datasets derived from social media. digital 100tprints, and public records. For instance, sentiment analysis, topic modeling, and social network mapping allow researchers to detect hidden patterns of behavior, public opinion, and social influence.

In educational contexts, integrating A helps students acquire digital literacy and data analytic competencies essential for 21st-century research. Zhou et al. (2024), argue that exposing social science learners to AI methods cultivates critical awareness of algorithmic Systems, data ethics, and the socio-technical dimensions of knowledge creation. Furthermore, AI- based simulations and virtual environments enable experiential learning allowing students to model economic behaviors, policy outcomes, or social interactions in dynamic digital spaces.

Societal Relevance: Responding to a Digitally Mediated World

The contemporary social world is profoundly shaped by AI from predictive policing and algorithmic governance to social media recommendation systems and labor automation. To study society effectively, social scientists must understand how these technologies influence human relationships, identity formation, inequality, and decision-making. Integrating AI into social science education ensures that future scholars and policymakers are equipped to critically evaluate and ethically manage technological change.

According to UNESCO (2024), AI literacy is becoming a core competency for global citizens, as it empowers individuals to participate meaningfully in digital societies. Likewise, Kaplan and Haenlein

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

(2023) emphasize that the social sciences must not only analyze AI as a technological phenomenon but also as a cultural and political force that redefines power, agency, and social order. By embedding AI into curricula, educators prepare students to address issues such as algorithmic bias, digital inequality, and data ethics key challenges of the post-digital era.

Interdisciplinary Collaboration and Policy Development

The integration of AI into social sciences also fosters interdisciplinary collaboration, bridging computational and humanistic inquiry. Social scientists contribute essential ethical, cultural, and contextual insights that guide the responsible design and use of AI systems. As Floridi (2024) asserts, the social sciences play a crucial role in shaping the moral and epistemic infrastructure" of AI, ensuring that technological progress aligns with human values and social justice.

In policy and governance, Al-informed social research enables evidence-based decision- making in areas such as healthcare, education, urban planning, and climate change adaptation. Governments and institutions increasingly rely on Al-driven models to forecast trends, allocate resources, and evaluate policy outcomes making it essential for social scientists to understand and critique these systems

2.2. Theoretical Framework

A sound theoretical framework is essential for understanding how Artificial Intelligence (Al) can be meaningfully integrated into social science education. The convergence of constructivist, humanist, and digital learning theories provides the philosophical and pedagogical foundation for this integration. Together, these theories emphasize learner- centered education, autonomy, interaction, and the transformative use of technology m knowledge construction.

Constructivism

Learning as Active Knowledge Construction

Constructivism posits that learners construct meaning from experience rather than merely absorb information. Originating in the works of Jean Piaget (1952), and Lev Vygotsky (1978), constructivism views learning as an active, social, and contextual process. Piaget's cognitive constructivism focuses on how learners build internal cognitive structures through processes of assimilation and accommodation, while Vygotsky's social constructivism emphasizes the social and cultural dimensions of learning through collaboration and dialogue.

In the context of Al-enhanced education, constructivism aligns closely with adaptive and interactive learning environments. Al-powered tools such as intelligent tutoring systems, learning analytics, and simulation-based learning mirror the constructivist principle of scaffolding by providing tailored feedback and individualized pathways for students. Holmes and Luckin (2023), note that Al can function as a digital facilitator," guiding learners through personalized experiences that promote reflection, exploration, and problem-solving. Through such systems, students in social sciences can engage with complex social realities, test hypotheses, and collaboratively construct understanding reflecting the core of constructivist learning.

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

Humanism

Education for Personal Growth and Autonomy

Humanistic learning theory, advanced by scholars such as Abraham Maslow (1968) and Carl Rogers (1983), centers on the development of the whole person emphasizing autonomy, intrinsic motivation, self-actualization, and the learner's subjective experience. Humanism assumes that education should nurture not only intellectual growth but also emotional, ethical, and social well-being.

The integration of AI into education must therefore be guided by humanistic principles to ensure that technology serves human development rather than replaces it. Rogers' (1983) concept of facilitative learning is particularly relevant: teachers (or AI systems) act as guides who create conditions for curiosity, empathy, and personal discovery. In this sense, Al can support humanistic education when it adapts to individual learning styles, provides emotional support through affective computing, and fosters lifelong learning. Holmes and Tuomi (2023) emphasize that the design of educational AI must prioritize transparency, fairness, and empathy values central to humanistic pedagogy.

Within social science education, humanism ensures that learners remain critical, reflective, and socially conscious in their engagement with AI systems. The goal is not merely to acquire technical competence but to cultivate ethical awareness, compassion, and civic responsibility qualities necessary for understanding and transforming society.

Digital Learning Theories
Connectivism and Technology-Enhanced Learning

While constructivism and humanism provide philosophical grounding, digital learning theories particularly connectivism offer a contemporary lens for understanding learning in the age of AI. Proposed by George Siemens (2024) and Stephen Downes (2023), connectivism posits that knowledge exists within networks of people, information systems, and digital tools. Learning, therefore, involves navigating, connecting, and synthesizing information across these distributed networks.

In a digital learning environment enhanced by Al, the learner interacts not only with peers and instructors but also with intelligent systems capable of recommending content, identifying gaps, and generating insights. Siemeis (2024) argues that connectivism "extends construetivist principles into the digital ecosystem where knowledge is co-Created between humans and algorithms." This view aligns closely with the realities of Al-integrated education, where learning is increasingly data-driven, collaborative, and continuous.

Al technologies also embody principles of self-regulated and experiential learning, providing immersive opportunities through simulations, virtual classrooms, and gamified systems. These environments allow learners to explore real-world problems in interactive contexts, fostering critical and creative thinking key objectives in social science education.

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

Together, these theories advocate a learner-centered, technology-supported, and ethically grounded approach to education. They guide how AI can be designed and implemented as a substitute for human interaction, but as an extension of human cognition, creativity, and collaboration. In doing so, they affirm that the ultimate goal of AI in education is not merely efficiency or automation but the holistic development of the learner as a thoughtful, empowered participant in a digitally mediated society.

Research and Methodological Innovations through AI: A Case Study

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has introduced profound methodological innovations into social science research by enhancing both qualitative and quantitative approaches. To illustrate this transformation, a case study was conducted in 2024 to examine how AI tools could be applied in analyzing public opinion on education reform and the integration of AI in Nigerian schools. The purpose of this study was to understand how citizens perceive AI in education, identify the dominant themes shaping public discussions, and evaluate the reliability of AI- assisted research methods compared to traditional manual approaches.

The research drew upon a dataset of 250,672 social media posts collected from Twitter. Facebook, and Reddit between January and June 2024. These posts were gathered through the Twitter API and Crowd Tangle, ensuring a broad representation of public opinion across different age groups and regions. After data cleaning and tokenization using Python's NLTK and spaCy libraries, the information was subjected to a combination of quantitative and qualitative analyses, guided by AI.

On the quantitative side, the study employed BERT-based sentiment analysis to classify the posts into positive, negative, and neutral categories regarding AI in education, The results revealed that 56.8% of the posts expressed positive sentiments, focusing on how AI can improve access to education, enhance personalized learning, and support skill development. Conversely, 27.4% conveyed negative sentiments, reflecting fears of job loss, ethical concerns, and privacy violations. The remaining 15.8% were neutral, consisting mostly of factual or descriptive statements. A topic modeling analysis using Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) identified three dominant themes n the conversation: access and equity (29%), teacher support (24%), and skill development (19%).

For the qualitative component, large language models were used to summarize and cluster the main ideas from the data, providing thematic overview that guided human interpretation. A Random sample of 1,000 posts was manually reviewed by social researchers to ensure contextual accuracy. Interestingly, there was an 84 6% agreement rate between the Al- generated themes and human-coded interpretations, demonstrating that Al can serve as a reliable assistant in social research when human oversight is maintained. Moreover, the Al-driven analysis processed the dataset in approximately three hours, compared to an estimated six weeks required for manual analysis, illustrating the remarkable efficiency and scalability that AI brings to research.

The findings also highlighted the importance of human judgment in AI-assisted studies while AI was effective in identifying patterns and summarizing large amounts of data, nuanced expressions such as sarcasm, cultural references, and implicit meanings still required human interpretation. For instance, negative posts about teacher replacement" often contained irony or rhetorical tone, which AI struggled

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

to capture accurately without contextual training. This limitation emphasizes the constructivist view that meaning in social interaction must be co-constructed by both human and technological agents.

Overall, this case study demonstrates that the integration of AI into social science research enhances both methodological rigor and practical efficiency. AI makes it possible to process vast data sources rapidly, detect emerging social trends, and support mixed-methods designs that merge numerical precision with interpretive depth. In this sense, AI becomes a methodological partner rather than a substitute for the researcher. It enables social scientists to uncover insights that were previously beyond reach, while still grounding interpretation in human experience and critical reflection.

The implications of this study extend beyond academia. Policymakers and educators can employ similar A-based models to monitor public opinion, evaluate education reforms in real time, and design more inclusive strategies for implementing technology in schools. However, ethical considerations such as data privacy, representativeness, and bias-must be addressed to ensure that AI-based research remains fair, transparent, and socially responsible.

Hence, the case study confirms that Al contributes significantly to methodological innovation in the social sciences. By merging computational analysis with qualitative depth, it offers a more holistic and dynamic approach to understanding social realities. The synergy between human insight and artificial intelligence not only accelerates the research process but also deepens our ability to interpret complex social phenomena fulfilling the broader educational and societal goals of the digital age.

3.0. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative research design. The researchers present salient components of artificial intelligent application in the discipline of Social Science Education with a view to understanding holistic and dynamic of the present and future social realities. The method focuses on content analysis and presents balance perspectives of the cardinal challenges of AI applications in Social Science Education. It also offer insights and clear discourses on how to avert the limitation and

4.0. CONTENT ANALYSIS AND DISCOURSES

4.1. Challenges and Limitations of AI Integration in Social Science Education

While Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers vast opportunities for transforming teaching, learning, and research, its integration into social science education is not without significant challenges and limitations. These constraints manifest across multiple dimensions technological, ethical, pedagogical, and infrastructural and determine how effectively AI can be adopted in educational settings. Understanding these limitations is essential for policymakers, educators, and researchers seeking to implement AI-driven innovations responsibly and sustainably.

Technological Gaps and Infrastructure Deficiencies

One of the foremost challenges in integrating Al into social science education is the technological gap between developed and developing contexts. Many educational institutions, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa persistently face the limitations in digital infrastructure, including unreliable internet

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

connectivity, inadequate bandwidth, obsolete hardware, and insufficient access to loud computing platforms required for Al deployment. According to Holmes and Tuomi (2023), effective Al utilization depends heavily on digital maturity denned by stable power supply, secure data systems, and access to modern learning management software. However, in much of the Global South, these foundational elements remain weak, resulting in unequal access to AI-enhanced learning opportunities.

Furthermore, maintenance and scalability issues hinder consistent use of AI tools in classrooms. Many schools adopt AI-based learning systems as pilot projects but lack the resources for long-term technical support or upgrades. Without sustained investment in digital infrastructure, the benefits of Al remain restricted to urban and elite institutions, widening the digital divide within education systems.

Data Privacy, Ethics, and Policy Issues

AI integration raises critical concerns about data privacy, security, and ethical governance. Since AI systems rely on large datasets often including students personal information, behavioral records, and academic performance there is a growing risk of data misuse, unauthorized access, or algorithmic profiling. Luckin et al. (2024) emphasize that many existing educational data policies are ill-equipped to handle the ethical implications of AI analytics, especially in contexts where consent and data protection mechanisms are weak.

Moreover, the absence of clear policy frameworks for AI in education complicates accountability. Issues such as data ownership, transparency of AI algorithms, and the ethical use of student analytics remain unresolved in many countries. In Nigeria, for instance, AI policy is still in its formative stage, and educational institutions often operate without comprehensive guidelines to ensure ethical AI deployment. As a result, schools risk breaching privacy laws or unintentionally reinforcing social inequalities through algorithmic bias. Raimi and Musa (2024) argue that without robust regulatory frameworks and institutional governance, AI implementation may replicate existing systemic inequities rather than resolve them.

Teachers' Readiness and Digital Literacy

Another major challenge lies in the readiness of teachers to integrate Al tools effectively into their pedagogy. Many educators in social science disciplines were trained in traditional, lecture-based methods and have limited exposure to digital teaching technologies. Adeoye and Ogunleye (2024) observe that teacher resistance to Al often stems from inadequate training, fear of technology replacing their roles, and lack of confidence in digital environments.

Effective AI integration requires teachers not only to understand how AI tools function but also to interpret Al-generated insights critically and ethically. This demands a high level of digital literacy the ability to navigate, evaluate, and apply digital technologies in instructional contexts. However, many educators still struggle with basic ICT competencies, creating a significant skill gap. The OECD (2023) reports that less than 40 percent of teachers globally feel prepare to use emerging technologies

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

such as AI and machine learning in their classrooms. In low-resource contexts, this percentage is even lower, often under 15 percent.

Furthermore, teacher training programs rarely incorporate AI pedagogy or digital ethics as part of professional development curricula. Without structured training, teachers may misuse AI tools, rely excessively on automation, or fail to integrate AI meaningfully into inquiry- based social science learning. Therefore, continuous professional development and Al- focused teacher education are indispensable for effective integration.

Infrastructural Constraints and Socioeconomic Barriers

The successful adoption of AI in education also depends on the broader infrastructural and socioeconomic environment. Many schools, especially in rural or marginalized areas, lack access to computers, modern classrooms, or stable electricity. Students often share limited digital devices, making it difficult to implement AI-based platforms that require individual engagement. This creates unequal access to AI learning tools, reinforcing existing educational disparities.

In addition, socioeconomic inequalities affect learners' ability to benefit from AI technologies. Students from low-income backgrounds may lack personal devices or digital literacy, while schools in wealthier districts can afford advanced learning platforms. UNESCO (2024) warns that if such disparities persist, Al could deepen the "educational stratification" between privileged and underprivileged groups rather than democratize learning.

Cultural and Contextual Limitations

Cultural attitudes toward technology also influence the acceptance and integration of AI in education. In some educational contexts, there is skepticism about Al's role in moral, civic, and humanistic development key goals of social science education. Educators fear that overreliance on algorithms may devalue interpersonal communication, empathy, and social engagement. As Schreiber and Valle (2024) note, Al-driven environments risk privileging efficiency and quantification over the reflective and ethical dimensions of learning, which are central to humanistic education,

Policy and Governance Gaps

Despite growing interest in AI in education, many countries lack comprehensive policy frameworks that align technology with educational objectives. Policies often focus on digital access or ICT infrastructure but fail to address ethics, and curriculum design, teacher support, assessment m Al contexts. Without clear national strategies and institutional governance, AI implementation risks becoming fragmented, pedagogical goals unsustainable, and inconsistent with

4.2. Comparative Analysis of Case Studies and Global Perspectives of Al Adoption

The global adoption of AI in social-science education reveals clear regional patterns shaped by differences in policy, infrastructure, teacher capacity, and local priorities. High-income countries tend to emphasize national strategies, teacher training, and curricular reform; middle-income countries often pursue rapid uptake through public-private partnerships and large platform deployments; low-

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

income and fragile contexts encounter acute infrastructural, language, and equity constraints that limit scaling. These patterns are illustrated by selected case studies and comparative evidence.

Developed-country examples: strategic national rollout and teacher capacity

Some developed countries have pursued coordinated, national approaches that combine investment in infrastructure with teacher training and curriculum redesign. Estonia's recent AI Leap initiative is illustrative: the government is providing students with personal AI accounts, training thousands of teachers, and partnering with AI providers to place tools directly into classrooms while foregrounding digital ethics and equity. Such strategies emphasize system-level readiness and aim to integrate AI into pedagogy rather than simply deploy tools. These initiatives also highlight intensive teacher professional development as a key success factor.

In the United States and many OECD countries, policy reports and comparative surveys stress that teacher readiness and governance are decisive for meaningful adoption: countries with clearer guidance and stronger investments in teacher training show higher reported readiness to use AI responsibly in classrooms. The OECD's comparative work further shows that digital maturity (connectivity, devices, and policy frameworks) predicts how effectively AI tools are used for pedagogical aims rather than only for administrative automation. OECD+1

Middle-income strategies: scale through partnerships and platform access

In several middle-income countries (for example, India and parts of Latin America), rapid uptake has been driven by strong private-sector involvement and broad platform offers sometimes enabled by promotional access or government procurement. Large user bases for commercial AI tutoring and content-generation tools have accelerated classroom experimentation and informal learning uses. While this can expand access quickly, it raises governance questions about vendor lock-in, data stewardship, and the alignment of tools with local curricula, The World Bank and regional briefs document both the promise and the need for safeguards when scaling platform-driven solutions.

Developing-country realities: infrastructure, language, and local relevance

Developing countries face persistent constraints unstable power, limited bandwidth, device shortages, and lower teacher digital literacy that impede large-scale AI adoption. African context studies and reviews point to productive pilots (tutoring bots, predictive analytics for learning Support), but they also emphasize the patchiness of coverage, urban bias in sampled data, and the need for multilingual models.

4.3. Cross-Regional Lessons: What Works and What to Avoid

From these regional comparisons several clear lessons emerge:

Policy and Capacity beats tech alone. Nations that pair strategy with teacher training, data governance, and curricular alignment (notably some OBCD Members and Estonia) realize deeper educational benefits than those that focus only on tool procurement.

ALVAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (AJSS)
FACULTY OF SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
ALVAN IKOKU FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, OWERRI
https://www-ajsspub-org.b12sites,com https://www.ajsspub2.org
E- ISSN: 3043 – 5463 ISSN: 1595 – 5842 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2, 2026

Localization matters. Language, curriculum, and cultural relevance are essential. Off-the-shelf models trained on global English corpora underperform in local contexts; investments in multilingual, context-aware datasets improve utility and fairness.

Human-in-the-loop design is critical. AI is most effective when used to augment teacher decisions supporting diagnostics and personalization rather than replacing pedagogical judgment. This reduces risks of deskilling and preserves ethical oversight.

Equity and infrastructure require targeted investment. Unless countries address basic access (devices, connectivity, power), AI risks widening the educational divide. International guidance (UNESCO, World Bank) recommends pairing AI pilots with durable infrastructure program.

Governance & ethics are non-negotiable. Global agreements (UN resolutions) and UNESCO competency frameworks signal that governance, transparency, and student data protections must accompany deployment to avoid harm and build trust.

5.0. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1. Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into social science education marks a paradigm shift in how knowledge is produced, disseminated, and applied. This paper has examined the evolution of AI, its relevance to education, the theoretical frameworks guiding its use (constructivism, humanism, and digital learning theories), as well as the methodological innovations, challenges, and global experiences shaping its adoption. The findings reveal that AI has the potential to enhance teaching, learnin8, and research by supporting personalized learning, promoting data-driven decision-making, and enriching analytical methods in both qualitative and quantitative social research.

The constructivist perspective emphasizes that learning is an active, collaborative process; hence, AI functions best when it complements rather than replaces human cognition. Similarly, humanistic theory underscores the moral, emotional, and social dimensions of learning-areas where Al must remain guided by human values and ethical oversight. Through case studies, it is evident that developed nations have advanced rapidly due to strong infrastructure, policy frameworks, and teacher training, while developing countries face challenges of technological gaps. digital literacy, and inequitable access.

Despite these challenges, the study affirms that AI can transform social science education if implemented strategically. Empirical evidence From Al-assisted research demonstrates increased efficiency, scalability, and analytical precision. However, issues such as data privacy, bias, and teacher readiness remain pressing concerns. Sustainable adoption, therefore depends not only on technology itself but also on ethical governance, teacher empowerment, and inclusive policy design that ensures equitable access and meaningful use.

In essence, Al represents a dual force: it offers immense opportunity for innovation but also demand thoughtful management to prevent widening existing inequalities. Its success in social science

education will depend on the collective capacity of governments, educators, and institutions to balance technological advancement with social responsibility.

5.2. Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following strategic recommendations are proposed for the sustainable integration of AI in social science education:

Develop National AI-in-Education Policies and Ethical Frameworks: Governments should establish clear policies that define standards for AI use in schools and universities. These frameworks must address data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and accountability to ensure that AI tools align with national education goals and human rights principles. Ministries of Education should collaborate with ICT and ethics bodies to develop regulatory guidelines specific to the social sciences, where issues of bias and social equity are most pronounced.

Invest in Digital Infrastructure and Equitable Access: Sustainable integration requires robust digital infrastructure stable internet connectivity, modern hardware, and accessible learning platforms. Public investment should prioritize rural and under-resourced areas to reduce the digital divide. Partnerships with the private sector and international organizations (such as UNESCO and the World Bank) can support the provision of low-cost AI tools and cloud-based services to schools in developing contexts. Enhance Teacher Capacity and Digital Literacy: Teachers remain the cornerstone of effective AI integration. Continuous professional development programs should equip educators with the skills to use, interpret, and critically evaluate AI tools in teaching and research. Training should include modules on digital ethics, AI pedagogy, and constructivist approaches that blend human insight with machine intelligence. Teacher education institutions should embed AI literacy within pre- service and in-service training curricula.

Promote Contextualized and Inclusive AI Tools: Al systems should be localized to reflect the linguistic, cultural, and curricular contexts of different regions. Developers should design multilingual models and culturally relevant datasets to ensure inclusivity. This will enhance the adaptability of AI applications in diverse social environments, making learning more relatable and equitable.

Foster Research Collaboration and Innovation: Universities and research centers should establish interdisciplinary hubs that bring together computer scientists, educators, and social scientists to co-develop AI applications tailored for educational research. Such collaborations can strengthen local capacity, generate region-specific data, and promote innovation in Al-assisted methodologies.

Establish Data Governance and Privacy Protocols: Institutional data policies should safeguard students' personal information and ensure informed consent for Al analytics. Schools and universities must implement secure data storage systems, anonymization protocols, and transparent reporting mechanisms to build trust in AI applications.

Encourage Ethical and Human-Centered AI Practices: AI adoption should remain anchored in the principles of fairness, accountability, and transparency. Educational institutions should create ethics committees to review AI projects and monitor compliance with best practices. Integrating ethics into

the curriculum will prepare learners to navigate the social and moral dimensions of AI-driven societies.

Promote Global and Regional Partnerships**:** International collaboration can accelerate capacity-building and policy harmonization. partnerships among governments, NGOS, and academic networks should facilitate knowledge exchange, shared AI repositories, and policy benchmarking between developed and developing nations.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declares that no conflict of interest exist in this manuscript.

REFERENCES

Adeoye, T., &Ogunleye, J. (2024). Teacher readiness for Al-enhanced instruction in developing contexts. Journal of Digital Education Research, 12(2), 44 59.

Benaich, N., & Hogarth, I. (2023). State ofAI Report. State of AI.

CrowdTangle. (2024). Social media public-data collection dashboard. Meta Platforms.

Downes, S. (2023). Connectivism and networked learning in digital environments. International Review of Educational Technology, 18(1), 1-15.

Floridi, L. (2024). The ethics of artificial intelligence: Foundations for responsible digital Societies. Oxford University Press.

Gartner. (2024). Artificial Intelligence: Definitions and Emerging Trends. Gartner Research.

Holmes, W., & Luckin, R. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Learning Revolution, Routledge.

Holmes, W., &Tuomi, I. (2023). Ethical foundations for educational AI. Al and Society. 38(4), 1223-1240.

Huang, Y., & Yang, C. (2024). AI-driven analytics in educational research: Opportunities and limitations. *Educational Data Science Review, 9*(1), 67-82.

Hwang, G.-J., et al. (2024). Fairness and transparency in A-supported assessment. *Computers and Education, 205,* 104 – 838.

Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2023). The social meaning of artificial intelligence. *Business Horizons, 66*(1), 924.

Kitchin, R. (2023). The Data Revolution and the Computational Social Sciences. Sage.

Luckin, R., et al. (2023). AI for personalized learning: Advances and challenges. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 54*(3), 1152 – 1170.

Luckin, R., et al. (2024). Data governance and ethics in the age of educational Al. *International Journal of Learning Analytics, 11*(2), 1 – 22.

Maslow, A. (1968). *Toward a Psychology of Being*. Van Nostrand.

Miller, S., & Lee, J. (2024). The computational turn in social science. *Annual Review of Social Research Methods, 7*(1), 55-78.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2023). *Al and the future of teaching and learning*. OBCD Publishing.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2024). OBCID *framework for the classification of AI systems*. OECD Publishing.

Piaget, J. (1952). The Origins of Intelligence in Children, International Universities Press.

Raimi, L., & Musa, A. (2024). AI governance in African education: Policy gaps and opportunities. African Journal of Education Policy, 6(1), 79-101.

Rogers, C. (1983). Freedom to Learn for the 80s. Merrill.

Schreiber, B., & Valle, R. (2024). Cultural implications of AI-mediated learning. *Journal of Humanistic Education, 22*(2), 31-48.

Sheikh, A. (2023). Understanding modern artificial intelligence: From perception to cognition. *Journal of Emerging Technologies, 15*(4), 220 – 235.

Siemens, G. (2024). Connectivism in the era of generative AI. *Journal of Digital Pedagogy. 3*(1), 11 – 29.

SSRN. (2024). *Definitional challenges of artificial intelligence: A discussion paper*. Social Science Research Network.

Techtarget. (2024). *Definition and core functions of artificial intelligence*. Tech Target Publications.

Twitter API. (2024). *Public data extraction tool*. X Corp.

UNESCO. (2024). *AI competency framework for learners and educators*. UNESCO Publishing.

Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological* Processes. Harvard University Press.

World Bank. (2024). *AI in Education: Global Landscape and Policy Guidance*. World Bank Publishing.

Zhou, T., Kim, S., & Alvarez, D. (2024). Al literacy and methodological training for social science students. *Journal of Computational Social Studies, 5*(1), 78 – 101.